

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114886
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure Analysis and Growth Trends the Economy of Bali Provinve-Indonesia Post Covid-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research findings and structural analysis and growth trends with results are useful with a broader scientific community and it allows to build the work. 2. The tile as mentioned "Structure Analysis and Growth Trends the Economy of Bali Provinve-Indonesia Post Covid-19 Pandemic", correct the spelling mistake of "Provinve" as "Province". Article title is suitable. 3. Abstract is accurate and summarised comprehensively. 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. What about the sample size? In case of sample size number of interviews conducted details are not mentioned. The author needs to update it. Please refer side heading 2.3 Data sources and data collection methods and key field observations in the research work are needs to be updated. 5. The study design, appropriateness of data collection method and data analysis with results and interpretation are appropriate. 6. The references are sufficient and recent work are mentioned in the article. Whereas the current study when it was actually started. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Editorial and spelling mistakes, uniformity in representation of results and references be checked and corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The sample size selection, data collection techniques through which formats is not mentioned needs to be incorporated for better understanding.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Raja Krishna Murthy
Department, University & Country	NAARM, India