

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111434
Title of the Manuscript:	Entrepreneurship Education and Curriculum Affect Student Entrepreneurial Intention through Moderation of Entrepreneurial Mindset in Center of Excellence Vocational High School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>1. YES</b> (This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it used quantitative methodology to explain the direct correlation of Entrepreneurship education with the entrepreneurship mindset development among students. The entrepreneurship education is very critical and essential need of the current world. It has the real meaning of education that is Knowledge, Skill and Attitude development. Hence this research will help researchers and academia to understand significance of entrepreneurship education.)</p> <p><b>2. NO</b> (The title of the article is very long. It could be shortened with little modification. It should be around 12-14 words title, not more than that)</p> <p><b>3. Abstract is comprehensive but it should avoid the headings and sub-heading in abstract. Abstract should be in paragraph form.</b></p> <p><b>4. YES</b> (Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate)</p> <p><b>5. YES</b> (Manuscript is scientifically correct. It has used quantitative method to explain the facts. It has wonderfully proved the hypothesis and disapproved null hypothesis with significant values and T-test vales, etc.)</p> <p><b>6. YES</b> (References are sufficient, researcher has given proper citation and maintained the quality paper)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Article need a final proofread before gets it published because I found some of the grammatical errors. However, these errors are minor.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Article is good and will contribute to the existing literature.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ajeet Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jamia Central University, India</b>