

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_113649
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Diagnosis of Urinary Schistosomiasis by Microscopy and PCR in Patients in Some Selected Primary Healthcare Centres in Keffi, North Central Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>Yes, The title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the article abstract is comprehensive. However, it would be better to include the statement that 114 of the 200 samples are women</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. There is a slight correction in the results and discussion of line 8 "15 (7.5%) samples tested positive by PCR and 185 (92.5%) tested positive". It should be: "185 (92.5%) tested negative"</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and up to date</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dewi Andriani
Department, University & Country	Adi Husada College of Health Sciences, Indonesia