

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_113232
Title of the Manuscript:	Biopesticidal property of Anamirta cocculus (L.) against rice case worm Parapoynx stagnalis Zeller. (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae)
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No Biopesticidal property of different botanicals (mention the plants' name) against larvae of rice case worm Parapoynx <i>stagnalis</i> Zeller. (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae)</p> <p>No The abstract should provide a good overview of the research with its results and conclusion, which could be improved. Consider reorganizing. Lacks keywords. To be clear for a new reader write RASA, DAT, and HAT in full words at least once in the beginning, and keywords</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Name of the author??? No Name Address</p> <p>2. Introduction: While the introduction provides some useful background information, it could benefit from more specific details about the importance of biopesticides.</p> <p>3. Material Methods Section: The methods section should provide a clear and detailed description of sample collection procedures, important to include botanical or herbarium authentications if possible.</p> <p>4. Results and Discussion: The findings should be discussed in more detail using statistical tools (Table graph etc) and explain the implications of these results for the feasibility and importance of biopesticides.</p> <p>5. Conclusion: It should be precise and conclusive if possible future recommendations</p> <p>6. Citations and References. If possible add recent works.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tibebu Mamuye
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia