

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114774
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial diversity of silverleaf whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) collected on jasmine and chrysanthemum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>No. Bacterial endosymbionts of <i>Bemisia tabaci</i></p> <p>No. The abstract part only contains the brief method and the result. No background, conclusion and Keywords are provides? Result is repeated ? No.</p> <p>No. conclusion No caps? Yes. Sufficient and recent</p> <p>Abstract: The <i>B. tabaci</i> collected on jasmine harboured more number of bacteria (8 bacteria) than chrysanthemum (5 bacteria). Maximum bacteria isolated from <i>B. tabaci</i>, collected on jasmine and chrysanthemum were belong to the phylum Bacillota (62.5 and 60 per cent). Repetition of result ?</p> <p>Introduction: (Kanakala and Ghanim, 2019)[7]. Which citation style is it? “The current study is giving special emphasis on the diversity of facultative bacteria in the nymphs and adults of <i>B. tabaci</i> on tomato”. But in title “on Jasmine and chrysanthemum”.??</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Why the tqble and figures at the end? The figure shows the same percent How and why? The discussion part is very week or no discussion is written in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganga Gharty Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal