

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_114453
Title of the Manuscript:	What if drusen were not exclusive to the elderly? A case report and literature review
Type of the Article	Review article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this is an uncommon case of Drusen in a young female patient with a family history of Age-related Macular degeneration (AMD)</p> <p>2. The title needs revision – consider – ‘An unusual incidental finding of Drusen in a 32-year-old woman- A case report and brief review of literature’</p> <p>3. The abstract is too brief and can be more elaborate and detailed.</p> <p>4. No, the subsections can be changed – introduction, case*, discussion and conclusion. The ‘methods and materials’, and ‘result’ sections are not required. *Case summary can be divided into sections – Relevant medical, surgical, family and personal history, presentation (signs and symptoms), laboratory reports and imaging results, management, prognosis and outcome</p> <p>5. Yes, in my opinion.</p> <p>6. More recent references can be added – such as - Egbu E, Ihemedu C, Eze UR, Okolo J (2020) Atypical Bilateral Peripheral Drusen in a Forty-Year-Old Man: Case Report and Review of Literature. Int J Ophthalmol Clin Res 7:119. doi.org/10.23937/2378-346X/1410119</p> <p>Additional comments</p> <p>a. Case reports should preferably follow the CARE guidelines (https://www.care-statement.org/checklist)</p> <p>b. Funduscopic and autofluorescence findings need to be explained in detail</p> <p>c. “Therapeutic abstention given the normality” can be simply written as “There was no intervention required and the patient will be monitored for complications”.</p> <p>d. The patient's medical history, presentation, relevant laboratory investigations, management of the case, and outcome can be organised in a better way and elaborated. Risk factors – smoking, dyslipidaemia, obesity, and hypertension should be documented.</p> <p>e. Discussion section, in addition to summarising findings of other studies, should interpret the findings of this case, justify its uniqueness, and provide meaningful clinical insight. Recommendations and liitation</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The spelling of Literature in the title needs to be corrected</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mayakalyani Srivathsan
Department, University & Country	DR D Y Patil Medical College, India