

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Asian Journal of Research in Botany</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJRIB_113562</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Plants and Traditional Contraceptive Practices: An Ethnobotanical Study among the Anyi Ndenye in Eastern Côte d'Ivoire</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>The</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p><b>No additions. However, authors could try to add or substitute references older than a decade with more recent ones.</b></p> <p>The authors have provided what I consider important information, vis-a-vis the paucity of such relevant information, in literature as duly pointed out by the authors. The information presented is useful to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title is fair enough, but I suggest that the authors consider rephrasing to reduce words, while maintaining the essence of the work. For example; they could say: "Ethnobotanical study of the traditional practices and uses of plants as contraceptives among the ....."</p> <p>I think the authors deviated majorly from the traditional/conventional style of presenting and writing an abstract. I have made suggestions on the manuscript to the authors on that. I suggest they keep to the accepted format.</p> <p>I think the authors have done well structure-wise</p> <p>The conclusion part of the abstract needs to be strengthened as I observed in my comment to authors in the manuscript</p> <p>Based on the objectives set out for the research, the authors have kept it simple and scientifically correct. A few important details were omitted or glossed over and these have been pointed out in the manuscript</p> <p><b>The authors made a significant contribution in the methods section with the formula for data processing which they proposed. It would have been useful if they reported on how effective that worked for them, so others can know.</b></p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Grace Pam</b>                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Jos, Nigeria</b> |