

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114285
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Groundwater Irrigation Expansion in Bihar
Type of the Article	Research Manuscript

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The attached manuscript have a scientific contribution, relating to the new trend in the use of water resources.</p> <p>2. Format of the title is acceptable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is acceptable</p> <p>4. yes the manuscript is structure</p> <p>5. The manuscript remains weak from a scientific stand point</p> <p>6. The references are few and not new , so it is advisable to cite recent works such as articles and books</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article needs linguistic revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The attached manuscript have scientific contribution in the water resource system field , it conceived according to the rules of scientific methodology. Despite this , it has major flaws , the absence of new references. The manuscript is accepted with minor modification required, in order to arrive at original results</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Addoun Tayeb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oran 2 University, Algeria</b>