

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_113877</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANGER LEVELS OF EMPLOYEES WORKING IN GOVERNMENT AND NON- GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes. The employees of NGO and government as research subjects is innovative compared to previous studies that focused primarily on corporate employees.</p> <p>2.yes</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Partly. A distinction should be made between the results and discussion sections. The authors lack a discussion (this includes, but is not limited to, the theoretical contributions of the study, practical implications, and limitations of this study)</p> <p>5.yes</p> <p>7. Please make sure that the in-text citation format follows the journal's guidelines. And please add some recent studies.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>1. Noted your reference in the introduction to the fact that employee anger can cause a range of mental health problems. However, it is still recommended to state the social context and origins of this study in the abstract and introduction section to answer in more detail why this study was conducted.</p> <p>2. The literature review in the introduction could be a separate part and the two need to be differentiated.</p> <p>3. The methodology section needs to be added, such as the number of organizations selected and the industry background; the software used for statistics.</p> <p>4. The discussion section is missing. This includes not limited to the theoretical contribution of the study, the practical implications and the limitations of this study (this is a cross-level study where you select employees from different organizations for the study rather than employees in a single organization, which may be affected by a very large number of confounding variables. This is best mentioned).</p> <p>5. Please check for possible spelling errors. For example, Espically.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Qingguo Fang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Peizheng College, China</b>