

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_110344
Title of the Manuscript:	African Breadfruit Seed Oil as Lipid Phase of Self-Emulsifying Drug Delivery System for Improved Gastrointestinal Fluid Solubility of Ibuprofen: Design And Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript proposes innovative solutions and provides valuable insights into drug delivery systems using African breadfruit seed oil, it can be considered important to the scientific community. 2. Valuation of African Breadfruit Seed Oil as Lipid Phase of a Self-emulsifying Drug Delivery System for Improving Gastrointestinal Fluid Solubility of Ibuprofen: Design and Evaluation. 3. The summary is not very understandable, the physical and chemical properties that were evaluated were not mentioned. A good abstract must answer the questions: What, for what (objectives); as? (method) what did we arrive at (results) what did we obtain considering proposed objectives? (work conclusions?) 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate for the topic. 5. Is the manuscript scientifically correct? 6. The references are not sufficient and recent, there are recently published articles on the topic that need to be cited 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. English editing require. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neuana Fernando Neuana
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil