

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114540
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF BULLDOZERS IN MODERN CONSTRUCTION
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Somewhat important. It is a purely theoretical analysis. No actual diagrams or Block diagram models are shown to make comparison of the chronological development of the model of Bulldozers from the first to the latest design. 2. The title of the article is alright. 3. Yes 4. Yes. 5. Will be scientifically correct with some modification as mentioned in the first comment of Reviewer. 6. References are sufficient. 7. Cost-benefit analysis of the recent design of Bulldozers may be added to make this manuscript useful for the readers. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes. But typographical errors should be rectified.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Satisfactory.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niranjn Kumar Mandal
Department, University & Country	University of Engineering and Management, India