

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JERR_114283
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Concrete Permeability Test Method and Sealing Method
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript can contribute to advancements in concrete technology and help address challenges related to infrastructure sustainability and resilience.</p> <p>2. "A Comprehensive Review on Water Permeability Testing and Sealing Techniques in Concrete Construction"</p> <p>3. The abstract effectively introduces the main themes of the article, adding more specific details and implications would enhance its comprehensiveness.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate for presenting information on water permeability testing and sealing techniques in concrete construction.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references provided seem relevant and appropriate for the topic of water permeability testing and sealing techniques in concrete construction.</p> <p>Additional suggestions / comments:</p> <p>1. Title should be clear and reflect the content of the paper precisely.</p> <p>2. Author(s) name and affiliations are not mentioned.</p> <p>3. Please pay attention and ensure the language of the paper is good.</p> <p>4. At-least five keywords must be provided.</p> <p>5. Please do add acknowledgement section.</p> <p>6. Minimum 15 references must be in the paper and all references must be cited in the text. Like [1], [2], [3], [4].</p> <p>7. The article has few typographical errors which may be carefully looked at.</p> <p>8. Please do use the right Manuscript Template Format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Article may be accepted with Minor Corrections in Grammar, Spelling, Punctuation, Conciseness and better Word choice.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NA</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. P. Kanniyappan
Department, University & Country	R. M. K. Engineering College, India