

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114340
Title of the Manuscript:	Concept and Assessment Methodology of Soil Quality: A Review
Type of the Article	Review article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This is an important topic of great concern and relevance. Efforts of authors in bringing the most relevant and required knowledge are highly appreciated. Overall, this is an excellent information which merits publication at this time.</p> <p>2. Title need to be modified</p> <p>3. Well written and covers all the important aspects of the study</p> <p>4. The manuscript is well written and provides thorough information on methodology for soil quality assessment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The introduction chapter provides a good view of the topic selected as well as the gap in our current understanding of the subject. ✓ This chapter covers many aspects related to the study. The sub-sections are well organized, and the review is presented broadly in the systematic order. <p>5. This manuscript addresses a timely topic and makes a relevant contribution to the field. However, some minor revisions are needed before it can be published."</p> <p>6. The reference section is well organised as per the standard format However, few more recent references need to be incorporated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is most adequate, well connected and of good quality, however to add glory to the chapter, few typographical errors in the chapter need corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a well-written manuscript that only needs to undergo a few minor changes.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salma
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, India