

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114340
Title of the Manuscript:	Concept and Assessment Methodology of Soil Quality: A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, once improved the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. Concept and methodology for soil quality assessment. A literature review</p> <p>3. Not at all: to be reviewed</p> <p>4. Yes, but a section on the methodology analysis is missing</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language should be improved to make the manuscript suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The document lacks not only the results of the experts but also a thorough discussion on the part of the author. As this is a literature review, the right thing to do would have been to analyse the methodologies used by the experts and compare their results. Which methodology does the author consider best for assessing soil quality? This information is missing even though it is the expected result of this research.</p> <p>Sections and sub-sections of 2 sentences or even a single sentence do not make the manuscript the result of scientific work. There is a degree of negligence on the part of the author. For example, incomplete titles and sentences are identified in the manuscript.</p> <p>Although the document is relevant and could add scientific value, it is written in a sloppy manner and the author should take the time to write it properly.</p> <p>Some bibliographical references are not found in the document!</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcien Ndagijimana
Department, University & Country	Université Lumière de Bujumbura, Burundi