

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_114127</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of local common bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) genotypes for resistance to fungal diseases in the bimodal-rainfall forest zone of Cameroon</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for phytopathologists and plant protection professionals of Cameroon, since evaluation of disease susceptibility is very important for bean production.</p> <p>The article's title is in accordance with its content.</p> <p>The article's abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The manuscript's sections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Although the manuscript is scientifically accurate, there are still some missing details.</p> <p>It is possible to expand the references</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Improvement can be made to the English language.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript informs about the incidence and severity of fungal diseases in various bean varieties. According to the authors, the causal agent of 3 out of 8 diseases observed in the field has been identified. However, no evidence of eight diseases are presented. The incidence and severity of those three pathogens were studied. Expanding the details on the methodology for quantifying diseases is necessary.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arnaldo Esquivel-Fariña</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Paraguay</b>