

# **"Optimizing Growth Parameters of Bell Pepper (*Capsicum frutescens L.*) through Comprehensive Nutrient Management" on Farm of the Department of Horticulture, MGCGV, Chitrakoot.**

## **Abstract:**

The study was conducted at the Research Farm of the Department of Horticulture, MGCGV, Chitrakoot. Bell pepper, a popular vegetable crop, faces challenges related to growth and productivity. This research aimed to determine the most suitable treatment for enhancing the growth parameters of Bell pepper plants.

The experiment involved a comprehensive approach, combining organic nutrient management, bioenhancers, and chemical fertilizers. Various treatments were applied to evaluate their impact on the growth parameters of Bell pepper. These parameters included plant height, leaf area, flowering, fruit set, and yield. Additionally, soil health and nutrient dynamics were assessed to understand the long-term sustainability of the treatments.

Preliminary findings suggest that a balanced combination of organic nutrients, bioenhancers, and judicious use of chemical fertilizers significantly improved the growth parameters of Bell pepper. This approach not only promoted vigorous vegetative growth but also enhanced reproductive stages, leading to higher fruit set and ultimately increased yield. Moreover, the study provides insights into the potential for sustainable Bell pepper cultivation practices.

The outcomes of this research contribute to the development of effective strategies for optimizing the growth parameters of Bell pepper, thereby enhancing its overall productivity and sustainability in agriculture. Further investigation is recommended to validate and fine-tune these findings for broader adoption in Bell pepper cultivation.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Bell pepper (*Capsicum frutescens L.*) is an annual and day neutral plant belongs to Solanaceae family. It is a one of the most important vegetable crop grown in India as well as in the world, because of its nutritive value, flavor, colour and is considered as one of the major commercial crops of the world (Tiwari *et al.* 2013) [36]. It is relatively non pungent or less pungent with thick flesh and is the world second most important vegetable crop after tomato. Sweet pepper has little energy value but the nutritive value of sweet pepper is high especially for vitamin A and vitamin C (Roy *et al.* 2011) [27].

Organic manures refer to plant and animal waste that undergo decomposition. Farmyard manure (FYM) is a mixture of decomposed dung and urine from farm animals, along with litter and other materials from their fodder. On average, well-decomposed FYM contains 0.5% nitrogen (N), 0.3% phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ), and 0.5% potassium ( $K_2O$ ). It can provide most of the essential soil fertility elements required by crops, although not always in

sufficient quantities or the correct proportions. Manure's plant nutrients become available to crops as it decomposes with the help of soil microorganisms.

Vermicompost is another organic fertilizer derived from the excreta of earthworms. It is rich in NPK (nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium) and micronutrients like calcium, magnesium, zinc, and manganese. Vermicompost also contains enzymes such as amylase, lipase, cellulase, and chitinase, which continue to break down organic matter in the soil and release nutrients to plant roots. It offers various benefits, including plant growth promotion, nutrient-rich content, beneficial bacteria, and mycorrhizae.

Similarly, poultry manure is the waste generated by chickens and serves as a valuable organic fertilizer. It supplies both macro and micro nutrients essential for the growth, yield, and quality of horticultural crops. Poultry manure is particularly notable for its higher nitrogen and phosphorus content compared to other organic manures, with average nutrient levels of 1.5% nitrogen, 1.5% phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ), and 1.8% potassium ( $K_2O$ ). Proper handling and management are essential, as exposed poultry manure can lose up to 50% of its nitrogen within 30 days. Additionally, poultry manure plays a crucial role in supporting crop nutrition and maintaining soil physical and chemical properties.

## Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during the year 2022-23 in Kharif season at Research Farm, Department of horticulture, MGCGV, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh.

**Table 1: Treatment combination.**

| S. No. | Treatment No.   | Treatment Combination   |
|--------|-----------------|---|
| 1      | T <sub>1</sub>  | Control (Farmers Practices)   |
| 2      | T <sub>2</sub>  | RDF (Refuse derived fuel) (250:150:150 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) NPK          |
| 3      | T <sub>3</sub>  | FYM 25 t ha <sup>-1</sup>   |
| 4      | T <sub>4</sub>  | Vermicompost 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>  |
| 5      | T <sub>5</sub>  | SPNF (Subhash palekar natural farming ) (Bijamrit + Jivamrit ++ Mulching) |
| 6      | T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% FYM (Farm yard manure) + 50% RDF (Refuse derived fuel)                |
| 7      | T <sub>7</sub>  | 50% Vermicompost + 50% RDF (Refuse derived fuel)                          |
| 8      | T <sub>8</sub>  | SPNF (Subhash palekar natural farming ) + Panchgavya                      |
| 9      | T <sub>9</sub>  | 50% FYM (Farm yard manure) + Panchgavya                                   |
| 10     | T <sub>10</sub> | 50% Vermicompost + Panchgavya   |
| 11     | T <sub>11</sub> | 50% RDF (Refuse derived fuel) + Panchgavya                                |
| 12     | T <sub>12</sub> | 50% FYM (Farm yard manure) + 50% Vermicompost                             |

## Techniques of analysis

### a. Soil sampling

The soil samples were taken from different places of the experimental field with the help of auger from 12-15 cm depth after clearing the surface vegetation. These samples were properly mixed, air dried in diffused sunlight, finally powdered and again mixed thoroughly. A representative sample of 5 g was taken for each analysis and subjected to mechanical and chemical analysis.

### b. Mechanical analysis of soil

The mechanical analysis of sample soil was done with the help of Bouyoucos Hydrometer method (1952) and result so obtained are presented table 1.

**Table 2: Mechanical composition of soil**

| Soil Properties | Percentage | Method Employed                    |
|-----------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Sand            | 61%        | Bouyoucos Hydrometer method (1952) |
| Silt            | 26%        |                                    |
| Clay            | 15%        |                                    |
| Textural        | Sandy loam |                                    |

### c. Chemical analysis of soil

The chemical analysis of soil was conducted to determine the percentage of major elements viz., nitrogen, phosphorus, potash, organic carbon, organic matter, pH and electrical conductivity. Nitrogen was estimated by alkaline permanganate method (Subbaih and Asija, 1956). The phosphorus was estimated by Olsen's Colorimetric method (Olsen *et al.*, 1954) and potash was estimated by Flame Photometric method (Jackson, 1958) respectively. The soil organic matter was estimated by Hydrochloric oxidation method as suggested by Walkey and Black (1934). The pH of soil was determined by pH meter (Elico pH meter model 2.112).

## Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed in randomized block design as per procedure of Cochran and Cox (1959). Interpretation of results was made on the basis of “F” test and critical difference at 0.05 probability calculated to compare the treatments. The data were presented by way of tables, graphs and photographs.

## Critical Difference

The significance of differences between the mean values of different treatments for different characters was taken by calculating critical differences (CD) at 5% level of significance as follows  $CD = SED \times T$

$$CD = \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{EM_{ss}/r} \times t \text{ value}$$

T = number of error d.f at 5 % level of significance

Where,  $SED = \sqrt{(2Me/r)}$

t = table value of “t” at error degree of freedom

r = number of replications

## Results and Discussion

The results of the present investigation was carried out during the year 2022-23 in Kharif season at the Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, MGCGV, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India.. The results of the investigation regarding the influence organic nutrients and N.P.K. on growth and yield of Bell pepper have been presented in tables and wherever required. The result of the experiment has been presented given below table.

**Table 4: Results of organic nutrients and N.P.K. on growth and yield of bell pepper**

| Treatment No. | Treatment Combination                  | Plant height (cm) at harvest | No. of leaves per plant at harvest | No. of fruit per plant | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit width (cm) | Weight of fruit (g) | Fruit yield (g) per plant | Fruit yield quintal per hectare |
|---------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| T1            | Control (farmers practices)            | 48.14                        | 125.11                             | 6.25                   | 6.11              | 5.82             | 78.25               | 745.52                    | 275.52                          |
| T2            | RDF(30:60:30 kg per ha) NPK            | 51.45                        | 138.41                             | 9.88                   | 7.15              | 6.88             | 84.52               | 854.15                    | 301.22                          |
| T3            | FYM 25 ton per ha                      | 60.63                        | 141.66                             | 10.33                  | 9.05              | 7.12             | 89.88               | 975.55                    | 303.78                          |
| T4            | Vermicompost 10 ton per ha             | 61.45                        | 145.45                             | 10.28                  | 9.17              | 7.28             | 90.15               | 978.58                    | 308.11                          |
| T5            | SPNF (bijamrit + jivamrit ++ mulching) | 58.44                        | 137.02                             | 9.71                   | 8.63              | 6.45             | 81.46               | 893.25                    | 288.45                          |
| T6            | 50% FYM + 50% RDF                      | 56.28                        | 132.52                             | 9.36                   | 8.45              | 6.52             | 88.15               | 878.25                    | 291.27                          |
| T7            | 50% vermicompost + 50% RDF             | 57.08                        | 131.25                             | 9.52                   | 8.22              | 6.28             | 85.63               | 863.25                    | 293.25                          |
| T8            | SPNF + panchgavya                      | 51.45                        | 128.15                             | 9.11                   | 8.63              | 6.10             | 86.14               | 841.25                    | 280.11                          |
| T9            | 50% FYM + panchgavya                   | 52.15                        | 132.55                             | 9.63                   | 7.82              | 6.22             | 82.63               | 838.52                    | 286.44                          |
| T10           | 50% vermicompost + panchgavya          | 58.11                        | 136.25                             | 9.25                   | 8.66              | 6.41             | 84.52               | 841.45                    | 282.81                          |
| T11           | 50% RDF + panchgavya                   | 52.11                        | 131.41                             | 9.05                   | 8.14              | 6.33             | 80.25               | 852.11                    | 283.12                          |
| T12           | 50% FYM + 50% vermicompost             | 65.15                        | 148.66                             | 10.45                  | 9.25              | 7.33             | 91.45               | 980.15                    | 310.45                          |
|               | <b>F- Test</b>                         | <b>S</b>                     | <b>S</b>                           | <b>S</b>               | <b>S</b>          | <b>S</b>         | <b>S</b>            | <b>S</b>                  | <b>S</b>                        |
|               | <b>C.D. at</b>                         | <b>1.758</b>                 | <b>0.542</b>                       | <b>0.031</b>           | <b>0.103</b>      | <b>0.191</b>     | <b>0.976</b>        | <b>1.282</b>              | <b>2.710</b>                    |
|               | <b>S.Ed. (+)</b>                       | <b>0.848</b>                 | <b>0.262</b>                       | <b>0.015</b>           | <b>0.050</b>      | <b>0.092</b>     | <b>0.471</b>        | <b>0.618</b>              | <b>3.683</b>                    |

**Growth parameters****Plant height (cm)**

The height of the plants was measured from the base to the growing tip of the plants at harvest stage. The mean plant height were worked out and expressed in cm.

**Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>**

The total number of branches per plant at four growth harvest stage were recorded from five observational plants and mean value recorded.

The observation in term of plant height (cm) at harvest of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on plant height (cm) at harvest of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum plant height (65.15) at harvest, and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub>Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub>FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum plant height (48.14) at harvest found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were reported by Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015) and Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of number of leaves per plant at harvest of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on number of leaves per plant at harvest of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum number of leaves per plant (148.66) at harvest, and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub>Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub>FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum number leaves per plant (125.11) was found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were reported by Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015) and Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of Number of fruit plant-1 of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on Number of fruit plant-1 of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum Number of fruit plant-1 (10.45), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub>Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub>FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum Number of fruit plant-1 (6.25) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of fruit length (cm) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on fruit

length (cm) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum fruit length (cm) (9.25), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub> FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum fruit length (cm) (6.11) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of fruit width (cm) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on fruit width (cm) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum fruit width (cm) (7.33), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub> FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum fruit width (cm) (5.82) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of Weight of fruit (g) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on Weight of fruit (g) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum Weight of fruit (g) (78.25), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub> FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum Weight of fruit (g) (91.45) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of Fruit yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup> of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on Fruit yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup> of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum Fruit yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup> (745.52), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and

T<sub>3</sub>FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum Fruit yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup> (745.52) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

The observation in term of fruit yield (quintal/hectare) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) was statistically analyzed and has been presented in table. The perusal of the table shows that the application of organic nutrient and N.P.K had significant positive effect on fruit yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Among the treatments applied, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost exhibited significantly maximum fruit yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (275.52), and closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> Vermicompost 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>3</sub> FYM 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the minimum fruit yield (quintal/hectare) (310.45) found in T<sub>1</sub> Control (Farmers Practices). Similar results were obtained by Gopinath *et al.*, (2011), Pariari and Khan (2013), Shiva *et al.*, (2015), Adhikari *et al.*, (2016). (Table 4).

## Conclusions

Among the various levels of organic nutrient and NPK used in the experiment, treatment T<sub>12</sub> 50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost for plant height (65.15) at harvest, number of leaves per plant (148.66) at harvest, Number of fruit plant-1 (10.45), fruit length (cm) (9.25), fruit width (cm) (7.33), Weight of fruit (g) (78.25), Fruit yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup> (745.52), fruit yield (quintal/hectare) (275.52), was the best for the maximum growth and yield of chilli under Satna agro-climatic condition when compared with control and the other treatments.

## References

- A. Pariari and S. Khan (2013). Integrated nutrient management of chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*) in Gangetic alluvial plains. Journal of Crop and Weed, 9(2):128-130.
- Adhikari P, Khanal A and Subedi R (2016). Effect of different sources of organic manure and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of sweet pepper. Advances in Plants and Agriculture Research. Vol. 3(5): 00111.
- Adhikari P, Khanal A, Subedi R (2016) Effect of Different Sources of Organic Manure on Growth and Yield of Sweet Pepper. Adv Plants Agric Res 3(5): 00111.
- Ahsanur M. Rahman, M. Matiur Rahman, M. F. Begum and M. Firoz Alam (2012). Effect of bio compost, cow dung compost and NPK fertilizers on growth, yield and yield components of chili, International Journal of Biosciences (IJB) ISSN: 2220-6655 Vol. 2, No. 1, p. 51-55, 2012.

- Amla Kanwar, S. S., Paliyal and Majinder Kaur Bedi, 2006, Integrated management of green manure, compost and nitrogen fertilizer in a rice-wheat cropping sequence. *CropRes.*, 31(2) : 334-338.
- Bade K.K., Vidya Bhati and Singh V.B. 2017. Effect of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Chilli (*Capsicum annum*) cv. Pusa Jwala. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(5): 2545-2552.
- Chandra Harish Raturi, Singh Gurpreet Uppal, Singh SK and Kachwaya DS (2019). Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) grown under polyhouse condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2019; 8(1): 1788-1792.
- Chandraprabha Koshale, Devendra Kumar Kurrey and Lochan Das Banjare (2018). Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and physiological parameter of chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2018; 6(4): 118-122
- Gobinath K. Appireddy, Supradip Saha, Banshi L. Mina, Kundu S., and Gupta H.S. (2011).Effect of organic manures and integrated nutrient management on yield potential of bell pepper (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) varieties and on soil properties. *Journal of Agronomy and Soil Science.* 54:127-137.
- Hamendra Sikarwar, Mukesh Kumar Seetpal, Nivedita Singh and Paramita Deb. (2023). Effect of NPK and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Chilli (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). *Biological Forum – An International Journal* 15(2): 73-77.
- Holebasappa Kumbar, A. Chandini Raj and Hore, J.K. 2017. Effect of Biofertilizers and Inorganic Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Chilli (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(7): 1564-1568.
- Hushal B Muradi, Devi Singh and Deepanshu (2023). Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2023; 12(3): 4363-4366.
- Kumar V, S Tomar and C. P. Sachan (2020). Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers Combinations on Plant Growth, Fruit Yield and Yield Parametrs in Chilli (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*) . *Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol*; Vol 11 [3] September 2020: 182-185.

- Maind M. M., Yadload S. S., Bhalerao R. V. and Thalkari G. N. (2018). Effect of Irrigation and Fertilizer Levels on Growth and Yield of Chilli (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*). Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2018) Special Issue-6: 1192-1199
- Małgorzata Berova, Georgios Karanatsidis, Krasimira Sapundzhieva, Veselina Nikolova (2010). Effect of organic fertilization on growth and yield of pepper plants (*Capsicum Frutescens L.*), Folia Horticulturae Ann. 22/1 (2010):PP 3-7.
- Tiwari SP, Panigrahi HK, Sharma D. Effect of different fertigation levels on morpho-physiological characters and yield of capsicum under greenhouse condition. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 1(9):111-113.

UNDER PEER REVIEW