

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114794
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Differentiated Learning in Improving Literacy and Numeracy of Primary School Students
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Generally fair. The article does posit some important for scientific community at a moderate level.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The abstract lacks rigour and recommended for some adjustment. Kindly address the purpose, method, findings and significance of the study in the abstract.</p> <p>The subsections in findings need to reword. It is recommended for the authors to explain the section of "Differentiated Learning Process in the Classroom" at the preceding section (Method)</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The citations were not written in APA style. Kindly revised.</p> <p>Additional Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Authors have able to provide general insights on the area and context that the research is trying to focus. However, the problem and the gap of the study are not well clarified. It is suggested for the authors to highlight on the issues lingering proficiency of literacy and numeracy among students in Indonesia. It is also empirical for the authors to state the gap that the research is trying to fill. Kindly consider on the knowledge gap, population gap, data gap or method gap. 2) At the introduction part, it is also suggested for the author to clearly clarify the aims of the study. 3) No mention of Research Questions and Research Objectives. 4) The method is fine but kindly address how do you ensure the validity and reliability of the instrument used. 5) Instrument used: Is it adopted from previous research or own creation? Please address. It is also suggested for Alpha Cronbach test to be stated as it will rigour the research instrument. 6) Lack citation in the research method. The authors are recommended to cite from Creswell. 7) The authors also did not mention the sampling technique used. Kindly address and cite accordingly. 8) Data collection procedures were not specifically mentioned. Just a general description on the Pre-test and post-test. How about the observation and documentation procedures? 9) In the abstract part, the authors data were collected through observation and documentation. However, the result from this data collection method were not mentioned and elaborated in the findings and method sections. 10) At the conclusion section, the authors also did not mention on the implications and suggestions for future research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Proofreading is needed. Language errors happen at many times. Kindly revised the lexical used in the manuscript to express meaning.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anwar Farhan Bin Mohamad Marzaini
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia