

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112286
Title of the Manuscript:	Shree Anna (Millets): A Nutritional and Agrarian solution to food production
Type of the Article	Literatur/systematic Review

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. I think one of alternative title for this manuscript is “Alternative food ingredients in realizing future food security”</p> <p>3. The contents of the abstract must clearly describe scientific methods. This manuscript is an article derived from several literature reviews. So the author needs to write the type of article in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Not yet. The author only wrote the introductory section which consists of several sub-sections. after that there is no more information such as methods, results and discussion as well as conclusions and suggestions</p> <p>5. Yes, Yes, but this manuscript needs improvement before it is decided to be published</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Authors need to pay attention to the guidelines of each journal they are going to</p> <p>2. When choosing to write a manuscript using the literature review method, the writer needs to think about the specific theme that will be written.</p> <p>3. The literature review/systematic review used needs to be explained specifically how the references were actually chosen to be part of the references for writing this article.</p> <p>4. When writing references, it is best to use an application such as Mendeley</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irma Muslimin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Poltekkes Kemenkes Mamuju, Indonesia</b>