

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112286
Title of the Manuscript:	Shree Anna (Millets): A Nutritional and Agrarian solution to food production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript addresses a pertinent and a topical scientific issue worth scientific community consumption.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable but lacks a spatial component (lack of a specific name of the study area). The title should be adjusted to read as follows " Nutritional and Agrarian Solutions to Food Production: case of Shree Anna (Millets) in.....include the study area here "</p> <p>3. No. it lacks a methodological backing and a defined conclusion. It has very long sentences. The keywords are few.</p> <p>4. No. the article sub-sections and structure are not appropriate as it has not followed the order [Abstract, 1. Introduction, 2. Research methods, 3. Results,4. Discussion, 5. Conclusion, Recommendation (s) References] of an original research article. See comment on the manuscript.</p> <p>5. No. this is justified by the fact that there are no in text citations with the references presented. The sections and components have not been followed.</p> <p>6. Yes. However, the references should be used in the introduction and discussion of the findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes. However, there are minor issues with articulation and sentence structure. (see the manuscript review)	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This article addresses a topical issue of scientific credence, but still lacks an appropriate scientific order in its presentation. These findings lacks quantitative analysis. There are no illustrations with the use of figures and tables to explain the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilack Kevin Mbuh
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon