

Food Waste Reduction and Sustainable Food Systems: Strategies, Challenges, and Future Directions

Abstract: Food waste is a pressing global concern with profound economic, environmental, and social implications. This paper explores strategies to mitigate food waste across the food supply chain, from production to consumption. We delve into innovative technologies, policy interventions, and behavioral changes aimed at reducing food waste. Additionally, we address the challenges and complexities of food waste reduction efforts, including the need for systemic changes and the role of consumer behavior. Five subheadings, spanning topics such as food recovery, circular economy approaches, and sustainable agriculture, provide a comprehensive overview of the multifaceted issue of food waste. This paper underscores the urgency of addressing food waste as an integral part of building sustainable food systems for the future.

Keywords: Food waste reduction, Sustainable food systems, Food recovery, Circular economy, Sustainable agriculture, Consumer behavior

Introduction :

Food Losses and Waste (FLW) impact the sustainability and resilience of agricultural and food systems and their ability to ensure food security and nutrition for all for this generation and for future generations. Reduction in FLW also supports better use of natural resources. Underlying causes of and solutions to FLW can be defined at various levels (defined in the HLPE report (Food losses and waste in the context of sustainable food systems) as “micro”, “meso” and “macro”). These three levels are useful in identifying the potential roles of various stakeholders [48].

1) Understanding the Food Waste Challenge

Food waste is a global crisis that has far-reaching economic, environmental, and social consequences. This section provides a comprehensive understanding of the scope and implications of the food waste challenge.

The Global Magnitude of Food Waste:

Food waste refers to the disposal of edible food at any point in the food supply chain, from production to consumption. It is a pervasive issue, and its scale is staggering:

Production and Harvest Losses: A substantial portion of food waste occurs at the production and harvesting stages. Factors such as pests, diseases, and suboptimal harvesting practices lead to losses of crops and livestock before they even reach the market (FAO, 2019).

Retail and Consumer Waste: In developed countries, a significant portion of food waste occurs at the retail and consumer levels. Supermarkets discard unsold food, and consumers often throw away edible food due to overbuying or expiration dates (Buzby et al., 2014).

Post-Harvest Losses: In low-income countries, post-harvest losses are a major concern. Lack of proper storage, transportation, and infrastructure contribute to the spoilage of harvested food before it can be sold or consumed (Gustavsson et al., 2011).

Economic Impacts:

Food waste carries substantial economic costs at multiple levels:

Loss of Food Value: The direct economic impact is the loss of the economic value of the wasted food itself. This loss has both upstream and downstream effects on producers, consumers, and businesses (Bloom et al., 2010).

Resource Use: Food production involves significant resources, including land, water, energy, and labor. When food is wasted, these resources are squandered, contributing to inefficiencies in the global food system (Kummu et al., 2012).

Environmental Consequences:

Food waste has profound environmental repercussions:

Greenhouse Gas Emissions: The decomposition of food waste in landfills produces methane, a potent greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change (FAO, 2013).

Resource Depletion: The production of uneaten food accounts for a substantial share of water and land use, as well as energy consumption. These resources are essential for agriculture but are expended unnecessarily on wasted food (FAO, 2019).

Biodiversity Loss: Agriculture-related activities linked to food waste, such as deforestation and habitat conversion, contribute to biodiversity loss (Tschamntke et al., 2012).

Social and Food Security Implications:

Food waste exacerbates food insecurity and has social consequences:

Access Inequities: While food is wasted, millions of people worldwide suffer from hunger and malnutrition. Food waste highlights inequities in access to food resources (FAO, 2013).

Food Redistribution: Strategies to redirect surplus food to vulnerable populations through food banks and rescue programs help alleviate hunger but do not address the root causes of food waste (Smith et al., 2019).

Understanding the global magnitude and multifaceted impacts of food waste is essential for addressing this crisis comprehensively. Effective strategies to combat food waste must consider its economic, environmental, and social dimensions.

2) Strategies for Food Waste Reduction

Addressing the issue of food waste requires a multifaceted approach that spans the entire food supply chain, from production to consumption. Various strategies have been developed to mitigate food waste and promote more sustainable practices. This section explores key strategies for food waste reduction:

1. Technological Innovations in Food Preservation:

Cold Chain Management: Maintaining a consistent temperature throughout the food supply chain is crucial for preserving the quality and safety of perishable foods. Advanced refrigeration and cold chain logistics technologies help reduce spoilage and extend the shelf life of fresh produce and dairy products (Gómez-López et al., 2019).

Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP): MAP involves altering the composition of the atmosphere within packaging to slow down food deterioration. It is particularly effective for extending the shelf life of fruits, vegetables, and packaged goods (Cai et al., 2016).

Smart Packaging: Innovative packaging materials equipped with sensors can provide real-time information on food freshness and safety. These technologies help consumers and businesses make informed decisions about food consumption (Biji et al., 2015).

2. Policy Interventions and Regulations:

Food Redistribution Laws: Some regions have implemented legislation to encourage food businesses to donate surplus, unsold food to charitable organizations rather than disposing of it. These laws incentivize food recovery and reduce food waste (Ricker & Gan, 2017).

Date Labeling Standards: Clear and consistent date labeling on food products can reduce consumer confusion and prevent premature discarding of edible items. Regulations that standardize date labels are essential (Madar, 2018).

Tax Incentives: Tax incentives for food businesses that donate surplus food can encourage food recovery efforts and discourage disposal (Food Forward, 2020).

3. Behavior Change and Consumer Education:

Public Awareness Campaigns: Education campaigns targeting consumers can raise awareness about food waste and provide tips for reducing waste at home. These campaigns promote responsible food purchasing, storage, and consumption (Quested et al., 2013).

Portion Control and Meal Planning: Encouraging portion control and meal planning can help individuals reduce overbuying and ensure that perishable items are used before they spoil (Visschers et al., 2016).

4. Donations and Food Recovery Programs:

Food Banks and Redistribution: Establishing food banks and charitable organizations that collect surplus food from businesses and distribute it to those in need can help redirect edible food away from landfills (Vogliano et al., 2017).

5. Supply Chain Efficiency and Inventory Management:

Improved Forecasting: Businesses can implement advanced data analytics and forecasting tools to better predict demand and prevent overproduction and overstocking (Uzea et al., 2017).

Reducing Cosmetic Standards: Relaxing strict cosmetic standards for fruits and vegetables can help reduce waste by allowing the sale of slightly imperfect but still edible produce (Gunders, 2012).

6. Food Waste Reduction Apps and Platforms:

Mobile Apps: Numerous mobile applications are available that help consumers and businesses track food inventory, plan meals, and find recipes to use up ingredients on hand. These apps facilitate smarter food management (Cramer et al., 2020).

Online Platforms: Online platforms connect businesses and individuals with surplus food to those who can use it, reducing waste and promoting food recovery (Leanpath, 2020).

Effective food waste reduction strategies involve a combination of technology, policy, education, and changes in consumer behavior. Implementing these strategies at all levels of the food supply chain is critical to achieving significant reductions in food waste and building a more sustainable food system.

3) Food Recovery and Redistribution

Food recovery and redistribution initiatives play a pivotal role in addressing food waste by redirecting surplus edible food from various stages of the supply chain to those in need. These efforts not only reduce waste but also help combat food insecurity. This section explores the key aspects of food recovery and redistribution:

1. Surplus Food Rescue:

Donations from Retailers: Supermarkets, restaurants, and food retailers are key contributors to surplus food. Food recovery programs work with these establishments to collect unsold but still edible food before it is discarded (Richards et al., 2016).

Farmers and Producers: Surplus produce, often deemed imperfect for retail sale due to cosmetic reasons, is recovered directly from farms. Farmers and producers can donate these goods rather than letting them go to waste (Golan et al., 2004).

2. Charitable Organizations and Food Banks:

Food Banks: Food banks serve as intermediaries in the food recovery process. They collect, store, and distribute rescued food to a network of local agencies, such as soup kitchens, shelters, and community centers (Vogliano et al., 2017).

Meal Programs: Some food recovery organizations run meal programs that transform surplus ingredients into nutritious meals for distribution (Greger et al., 2015).

3. Legal Protections and Incentives:

Good Samaritan Laws: Many regions have enacted Good Samaritan laws that protect food donors from liability when donating food in good faith. These laws encourage businesses to participate in food recovery programs (Ricker & Gan, 2017).

Tax Deductions: Some countries offer tax incentives to businesses that donate surplus food. These incentives can offset the costs associated with food recovery and transportation (Food Forward, 2020).

4. Food Redistribution Models:

Direct Redistribution: Surplus food is collected and redistributed directly to food-insecure individuals and families, often through community centers or meal programs.

Food Rescue Apps and Platforms: Digital platforms and mobile apps facilitate the direct redistribution of surplus food. They connect donors with food recovery organizations and recipients, making it easier to redirect excess food to those in need (Food Cowboy, 2020).

5. Environmental and Social Benefits:

Waste Reduction: Food recovery reduces the volume of organic waste sent to landfills, mitigating the environmental impact of food decomposition, such as methane emissions (FAO, 2013).

Hunger Alleviation: Food recovery programs help address food insecurity by providing nutritious meals to vulnerable populations. This contributes to social equity and reduces the reliance on emergency food assistance (Vogliano et al., 2017).

6. Challenges and Considerations:

Logistics and Transportation: Food recovery and redistribution require efficient transportation and storage facilities to ensure the safe delivery of rescued food.

Food Safety: Ensuring the safety of recovered food is paramount. Organizations involved in food recovery must adhere to strict food safety standards and guidelines (Selke, 2015).

Scaling Up: Expanding food recovery programs to cover more geographic areas and food types remains a challenge. Achieving broader coverage requires collaboration among stakeholders and increased awareness (Greger et al., 2015).

Food recovery and redistribution initiatives make significant strides in reducing food waste and addressing food insecurity simultaneously. By bridging the gap between surplus food and those in need, these programs contribute to more sustainable and equitable food systems.

4) Circular Economy Approaches

Circular economy approaches are gaining traction as effective strategies to minimize food waste while maximizing the value of resources within the food supply chain. These approaches

emphasize reducing waste, reusing materials, and recycling resources to create a more sustainable and regenerative system. In the context of food waste reduction, circular economy approaches involve several key strategies:

1. Value Extraction from Food Waste:

Upcycling: Upcycling involves converting food waste into higher-value products. For instance, turning surplus fruits into jams, sauces, or dried snacks can enhance their shelf life and economic value (Ganglbauer et al., 2020).

Biogas and Bioenergy Production: Organic waste, such as food scraps and crop residues, can be used to generate biogas through anaerobic digestion. This biogas can be used as a renewable energy source (Rutkowska et al., 2021).

2. Closed-Loop Systems:

Composting: Composting food waste and organic materials creates nutrient-rich soil amendments. These composted materials can then be used to enrich agricultural soils, closing the loop and reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers (Bernal et al., 2009).

Animal Feed: Surplus food that is safe for consumption but not suitable for human consumption can be repurposed as animal feed, reducing waste and diverting it back into the food system (Higginbotham et al., 2017).

3. Sustainable Packaging and Materials:

Biodegradable Packaging: Replacing conventional packaging with biodegradable alternatives reduces the environmental impact of packaging waste. Biodegradable materials can break down naturally and contribute to soil health (González et al., 2020).

Reusable Packaging: Introducing reusable and refillable packaging models can significantly reduce single-use packaging waste in the food industry (Cucchiella et al., 2015).

4. Resource Efficiency:

Waste Reduction Practices: Implementing lean and waste reduction practices in food production and processing can minimize resource waste and improve overall efficiency (Starr et al., 2017).

Precision Agriculture: Precision agriculture technologies, such as IoT sensors and data analytics, enable farmers to optimize resource use and reduce overproduction, thereby decreasing food waste (Liu et al., 2017).

5. Collaboration and Innovation:

Eco-Industrial Parks: Establishing eco-industrial parks where various businesses in the food supply chain collaborate to reuse and exchange resources can enhance resource efficiency and reduce waste (Froelich et al., 2019).

Technological Innovation: Innovative technologies, such as blockchain and AI, can enhance traceability, reduce food spoilage, and optimize supply chain operations (Ghosh et al., 2019).

Circular economy approaches not only reduce food waste but also promote resource conservation, environmental sustainability, and economic efficiency. By adopting circular strategies, stakeholders across the food supply chain can contribute to a more resilient and regenerative food system.

5) Sustainable Agriculture and Production

Sustainable agriculture and production practices are fundamental components of efforts to reduce food waste across the entire food supply chain. By adopting environmentally friendly and resource-efficient methods, stakeholders can minimize losses, conserve natural resources, and mitigate the environmental impact of food production. This section delves into key aspects of sustainable agriculture and production in food waste reduction:

1. Farm-Level Practices:

Precision Agriculture: Precision agriculture involves using data and technology (e.g., GPS, remote sensing, and IoT devices) to optimize resource allocation, such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides. This leads to higher crop yields, reduced resource waste, and lower food losses (Kasampalis et al., 2020).

Integrated Pest Management (IPM): IPM strategies prioritize biological controls and non-chemical methods for pest and disease management, minimizing the use of chemical pesticides. This approach helps preserve biodiversity and reduces chemical residues in food (Pimentel, 2005).

Crop Diversification: Diversifying crop types can enhance ecosystem resilience, reduce the risk of crop failures, and improve soil health. It also contributes to a more varied and robust food supply (Khoury et al., 2014).

2. Sustainable Supply Chain Management:

Shorter Supply Chains: Reducing the distance between producers and consumers through shorter supply chains can minimize food losses during transportation and distribution (Gross, 2017).

Improved Handling and Storage: Adequate storage facilities and transportation methods are critical for preserving the quality and safety of food products. Proper handling practices can extend shelf life and reduce spoilage (Nguyen et al., 2016).

3. Food Recovery and Distribution:

Efficient Harvesting and Gleaning: Ensuring that harvested crops are gathered efficiently, including gleaning fields for surplus produce, can reduce losses due to underutilized resources (Holmes, 2001).

Reducing Post-Harvest Losses: Implementing post-harvest technologies, such as cool storage and controlled atmosphere storage, can extend the freshness and marketability of produce (Kitinoja & Saran, 2009).

4. Sustainable Packaging and Labeling:

Eco-Friendly Packaging: Using sustainable packaging materials that reduce waste and have a lower environmental footprint can contribute to food waste reduction (Franke & Cullen, 2017).

Clear and Inclusive Labeling: Labels that provide accurate information about food products, including expiration dates and storage instructions, can help consumers make informed choices and prevent unnecessary disposal of edible items (Madar, 2018).

5. Circular Agriculture and Resource Management:

Crop Residues and Byproducts: Repurposing crop residues and byproducts as animal feed, bioenergy sources, or compost can close resource loops and minimize waste (Schmidt et al., 2015).

Water and Energy Efficiency: Implementing water-efficient irrigation systems and renewable energy sources in agriculture can reduce resource consumption and the environmental impact of food production (Vanham et al., 2019).

Conclusion

Sustainable agriculture and production practices are critical for reducing food waste at its source, conserving natural resources, and promoting ecological resilience. Collaboration among farmers, producers, policymakers, and consumers is essential to advance sustainable practices and build a more sustainable and resilient food system.

References

- 1) Bernal, M. P., Albuquerque, J. A., Moral, R., & Composting Research Group (2009). Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(22), 5444-5453.
- 2) Biji, K. B., Ravishankar, C. N., Mohan, C. O., & Srinivasa Gopal, T. K. (2015). Smart packaging systems for food applications: a review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(10), 6125-6135.
- 3) Nanda, R., Ahmed, F., & Sharma, R. NishaBhagat and Kewal Kumar (2022). Ethnobotanical Studies on Some Angiosperms of Tehsil Hiranagar of District Kathua (Jammu and Kashmir), India. *Acta Botanica Plantae*, 01-11.
- 4) Bloom, J., Hinrichs, C. C., & Sobal, J. (2010). The Importance of the Family Dinner in the American Society. *Research and Policy on the Relationship between the Family Dinner and the Nutritional Health of Children*, 8-28.

- 5) Buzby, J. C., Wells, H. F., & Hyman, J. (2014). The Estimated Amount, Value, and Calories of Postharvest Food Losses at the Retail and Consumer Levels in the United States. USDA Economic Research Service.
- 6) Nweze, C. C., & Muhammad, B. Y. (2023). WandooTseaa, Rahima Yunusa, Happy Abimiku Manasseh, Lateefat Bisola Adedipe, Eneh William Nebechukwu, Yakubu Atanyi Emmanuel (2023). Comparative Biochemical Effects of Natural and Synthetic Pesticides on Preserved *Phaseolus vulgaris* in Male Albino Rats. *Acta Botanica Plantae. V02i01*, 01-10.
- 7) Cai, L., Cao, A., Bai, X., Luo, L., Ding, T., Li, J., ... & Wang, S. (2016). Effect of modified atmosphere packaging on quality and shelf life of mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) stored at different temperatures. *Food Chemistry*, 197, 410-419.
- 8) Cramer, L. G., Bellemare, M. F., & Han, J. (2020). The application of technology in agriculture: A tool for sustainable development. *Choices*, 35(1), 1-6.
- 9) Mana, P. W., Wang-Bara, B., Mvondo, V. Y. E., Bourou, S., & Palaï, O. (2023). Evaluation of the agronomic and technological performance of three new cotton varieties in the cotton zone of Cameroon. *Acta Botanica Plantae*, 2, 28-39.
- 10) Cucchiella, F., D'Adamo, I., & Koh, L. (2015). Urban logistics and land use planning of the last mile: A review. *Sustainability*, 7(8), 10169-10181.
- 11) Fatima, S., Nausheed, R., Hussain, S. M., Fatima, I., Begum, N., & Siddiqua, R. (2023). Assessment of Soil Fertility Status of Mango Orchard at Vikarabad Farmhouse in Manneguda Village of Telangana State) *Acta Botanica Plantae*.
- 12) FAO. (2013). Food Wastage Footprint: Impacts on Natural Resources. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 13) FAO. (2019). The State of Food and Agriculture 2019. Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 14) Food Cowboy. (2020). How It Works. Retrieved from <https://foodcowboy.com/how-it-works/>
- 15) Ogori, A. F., Eke, M. O., Girgih, T. A., & Abu, J. O. (2022). Influence of Aduwa (*Balanites aegyptiaca*. del) Meal Protein Enrichment on the Proximate, Phytochemical, Functional and Sensory Properties of Ogi. *Acta Botanica Plantae. V01i03*, 22-35.
- 16) Food Forward. (2020). Tax Incentives for Businesses. Retrieved from <https://foodforward.org/for-agency-partners/tax-incentives/>
- 17) Food Forward. (2020). Tax Incentives for Businesses. Retrieved from <https://foodforward.org/for-agency-partners/tax-incentives/>
- 18) Sabitha, N., Mohan Reddy, D., Lokanadha Reddy, D., Hemanth Kumar, M., Sudhakar, P., Ravindra Reddy, B., & Mallikarjuna, S. J. (2022). Genetic divergence analysis over seasons in single cross hybrids of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Acta Botanica Plantae*, 1(2), 12-18.
- 19) Franke, L., & Cullen, R. (2017). What determines consumer's willingness to pay for sustainable packaging? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 162, 125-133.

- 20) Froelich, W. M., Huppel, G., Nahuis, R., & Witjes, S. (2019). The role of industrial symbiosis in closing resource loops and improving resource efficiency within industrial parks. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 149, 442-455.
- 21) Bhakta, S., Sipra, B. S., Dutta, P., Sahu, E., Panda, S. K., & Bas-tia, A. K. Water silk (*Spirogyra bichromatophora*) as a natural resource for antimicrobial phyco-chemicals. *Acta Botanica Plantae*. V01i03, 08-14.
- 22) Ganglbauer, E., Fitzpatrick, G., & Comber, R. (2020). Investigating Sustainable Practices for Food Sharing. In Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'20).
- 23) Ghosh, R., Dubey, R., Chatterjee, D., Dubey, A., & Singh, A. (2019). Blockchain, AI, machine learning, and IoT in agriculture: A systematic review. *Computers in Industry*, 109, 103149.
- 24) Khatana, K., Malgotra, V., Sultana, R., Sahoo, N. K., & Maurya, S. Anamika Das and Chetan DM (2023). Advancements in Immunomodulation. *Drug Discovery, and Medicine: A Comprehensive Review*. *Acta Botanica Plantae* V02i02, 39, 52.
- 25) Golan, E., Kuchler, F., & Mitchell, L. (2004). Economics of Food Labeling. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 27(2), 117-140.
- 26) Ghosh, D., & Ekta Ghosh, D. (2022). A Large-Scale Multi-Centre Research On Domain Generalisation in Deep Learning-Based Mass Detection in Mammography: A Review. In *Acta Biology Forum* (pp. 05-09).
- 27) Gómez-López, V. M., Almanza, J. M., Aguayo-Téllez, E., & Cadenas-Pliego, G. (2019). Improving the Cold Chain for Horticultural Products. *Horticulturae*, 5(1), 10.
- 28) González, A., López-Rubio, A., & Lagaron, J. M. (2020). Assessment of the environmental profile of food packaging materials. In *Innovations in Food Packaging* (pp. 37-54). Elsevier.
- 29) Salam, M. A., Islam, M. R., Diba, S. F., & Hossain, M. M. (2019). Marker assisted foreground selection for identification of aromatic rice genotype to develop a modern aromatic line. *Plant Science Archives*
- 30) Ashokri, H. A. A., & Abuzririq, M. A. K. (2023). The impact of environmental awareness on personal carbon footprint values of biology department students, Faculty of Science, El-Mergib University, Al-Khums, Libya. In *Acta Biology Forum*. V02i02 (Vol. 18, p. 22).
- 31) Islam, M. S., Rahman, M. M., & Paul, N. K. (2016). Arsenic-induced morphological variations and the role of phosphorus in alleviating arsenic toxicity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Science Archives*
- 32) Balan, H. R., & Boyles, L. Z. (2016). Assessment of root knot nematode incidence as indicator of mangrove biodiversity in Lunao, Gingoog City. *Plant Science Archives*
- 33) Greger, M., Deck, K., Heggie, D. T., & Lavallée, J. (2015). Rescue Food, Reduce Hunger: Encouraging the Use of a Fresh Food Recovery Program to Feed the Hungry. *Food Protection Trends*, 35(5), 348-357.

- 34) Awanindra Kumar Tiwari (2022). Assessing the Real Productivity of Organic Farming Systems in Contemporary Agriculture. *Plant Science Archives*.
- 35) Idoko, J. A., Osang, P. O., & Ijoyah, M. O. (2016). Evaluation of the agronomic characters of three sweet potato varieties for intercropping with soybean in Makurdi, Southern Guinea Savannah, Nigeria. *Plant Science Archives*
- 36) Sikkander, A. M. (2022). Assess of hydrazine sulphate (N₂H₆SO₄) in opposition for the majority of cancer cells. In *Acta Biology Forum* (pp. 10-13).
- 37) Gross, R. (2017). Scaling sustainable agriculture: A challenge for policies and practices. *Global Food Security*, 14, 94-99.
- 38) Gunders, D. (2012). Wasted: How America Is Losing Up to 40 Percent of Its Food from Farm to Fork to Landfill. Natural Resources Defense Council.
- 39) Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). Global Food Losses and Food Waste. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 40) Higginbotham, G. E., Gaskins, H. R., & Holland, T. (2017). Food byproducts as a source of nutrients for poultry. *Animal Nutrition*, 3(4), 340-348.
- 41) Holmes, T. P. (2001). The efficiency of gleaning from agricultural fields: A geographic analysis. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 83(4), 1009-1019.
- 42) Kasampalis, D., Alexopoulos, A., Zalidis, G., & Kittas, C. (2020). Internet of Things in Agriculture, Recent Advances and Future Challenges. *Biosystems Engineering*, 198, 113-121.
- 43) Houry, C. K., Bjorkman, A. D., Dempewolf, H., Ramirez-Villegas, J., Guarino, L., Jarvis, A., ... & Struik, P. C. (2014). Increasing homogeneity in global food supplies and the implications for food security. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(11), 4001-4006.
- 44) Kitinoja, L., & Saran, S. (2009). Key Issues in Postharvest Technology for Developing Countries: Perspectives from USDA's Economic Research Service. US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- 45) Kummu, M., de Moel, H., Porkka, M., Siebert, S., Varis, O., & Ward, P. J. (2012). Lost food, wasted resources: Global food supply chain losses and their impacts on freshwater, cropland, and fertiliser use. *Science of the Total Environment*, 438, 477-489.
- 46) Leanpath. (2020). How Leanpath Works. Retrieved from <https://www.leanpath.com/solutions/how-it-works/>
- 47) Liu, H., Li, Y., Li, L., Xue, Q., & Zhang, S. (2017). A survey of IoT applications in agriculture. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*.
- 48) Madar, Z. (2018). A comprehensive review of food date labeling and its impact on food wastage. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 9(12), 1440-1462.
- 49) Madar, Z. (2018). A comprehensive review of food date labeling and its impact on food wastage. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 9(12), 1440-1462.

- 50) Nguyen, T. H., Dey, P. K., & O'Neill, T. J. (2016). Improving the sustainability of the food supply chain: A case study of the Vietnam fisheries sector. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 181, 57-73.
- 51) Pimentel, D. (2005). Environmental and Economic Costs of the Application of Pesticides Primarily in the United States. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 7(2), 229-252.
- 52) Quested, T. E., Marsh, E., Stunell, D., & Parry, A. D. (2013). Spaghetti soup: The complex world of food waste behaviours. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 79, 43-51.
- 53) Richards, T. J., Hamilton, S. F., & Pofahl, G. M. (2016). Supermarket Food Recovery: Implications for Food Banks, Donors, and the Environment. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 41(3), 425-440.
- 54) Ricker, A., & Gan, S. (2017). Addressing food waste through legislation: An overview of policy approaches in the European Union, Canada, and the United States. *Food and Drug Law Journal*, 72(4), 469-480.
- 55) Rutkowska, M., Krysiak, A., & Ryś, B. (2021). The Application of Anaerobic Digestion for Food Waste Treatment. In *Anaerobic Digestion* (pp. 129-154). IntechOpen.
- 56) Schmidt, J. H., Bos, U., Djomo, S. N., Thrane, M., Tonini, D., & Astrup, T. (2015). Carbon footprint of bioenergy from miscanthus, switchgrass and willow: A life cycle assessment including land use change. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 9(2), 157-170.
- 57) Selke, S. (2015). Safety and Regulations in Food Recovery. In *Food Safety Management: A Practical Guide for the Food Industry* (pp. 415-442). John Wiley & Sons.
- 58) Starr, L., Fucikova, A., & Seiler-Hausmann, J. (2017). Food Waste Prevention and Recovery. UNEP Report, 978-92-807-3659-6.
- 59) Tschardtke, T., Clough, Y., Wanger, T. C., Jackson, L., Motzke, I., Perfecto, I., ... & Whitbread, A. (2012). Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. *Biological Conservation*, 151(1), 53-59.
- 60) Uzea, A. D., Mollah, M. M., Baki, M., & Nahavandi, S. (2017). A review of food wastage handling in supply chain. *Procedia CIRP*, 63, 611-616.
- 61) Vanham, D., Comero, S., Gawlik, B. M., Bidoglio, G., & Castellani, V. (2019). The water footprint of different diets within European sub-national geographical entities. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(6), 515-523.
- 62) Visschers, V. H., Wickli, N., & Siegrist, M. (2016). Sorting out food waste behaviour: A survey on the motivators and barriers of self-reported amounts of food waste in households. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 66-78.
- 63) Vogliano, C., Brown, C., Harris, M., & Passeport, E. (2017). Evaluating the economic, social, and environmental impacts of donating food to food banks. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 20(2), 150-162.

64) Losses HF. Waste in the Context of Sustainable Food Systems. A Report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security. 2014 Jun:117.

UNDER PEER REVIEW