

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_113228
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotics susceptibility profile of Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Species using Selected 3rd Generation Cephalosporins antibiotics against Humans and Poultry Faeces in Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes manuscript is important as it is conducted to investigate the prevalence of non-typhoidal <i>salmonella</i> species from humans and poultry faeces in Ido-Ekiti using selected 3rd generation cephalosporin antibiotics.</p> <p>Title is too long ,can be reduced</p> <p>Abstract is ok</p> <p>Yes manuscript is written appropriatetely but methods are not explained in material and methods part and it is missing and only materials used are mentioned</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Check table 1. For corrections</p> <p>2. Mention which statistical method is applied during comparison of samples</p> <p>3. Why Age is classified so diversly, such as 1-10,11-20 and 60-70,mention why no samples were received from middle age group.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swati S. Gaikwad
Department, University & Country	Nagpur College of Pharmacy, India