

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_114314
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC EVALUATION AND IMPLICATIONS OF NUTRITIONAL POTENTIAL OF SESAME SEED MEAL ( <i>Sesamum indicum</i> ) IN THE DIET OF GROWING SNAILS ( <i>Archachatina marginata</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript <b>has significance importance</b> for scientific community</p> <p>2. <b>To be suitable: Needs some modification on the title Like: "Assessment on the Nutritional Potential Implications and Economic Significance of Sesame Seed Meal (<i>Sesamum Indicum</i>) in Diet of Growing Snails (<i>Archachatina Marginata</i>)"</b></p> <p>3. Somewhat comprehensive abstraction</p> <p>4. Are subsections are not designed properly, Structure of the manuscript is not well since the result of investigation is written outside the structure as index, lacks recommendations for scientific community, so it is not appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References are <b>not sufficient</b> and <b>not recent</b>.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. English language structure is not adequate in quality and structurally the article will not be suitable for scholarly communications if published.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Need revision on the, structure, language, composition, needs additional and recent references to be current information for the scientific community.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Getinet Ayalew Dessie</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Debre Markos University, Ethiopia</b>