

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_114314
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC EVALUATION AND IMPLICATIONS OF NUTRITIONAL POTENTIAL OF SESAME SEED MEAL (<i>Sesamum indicum</i>) IN THE DIET OF GROWING SNAILS (<i>Archachatina marginata</i>)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. This manuscript suggests ways to reduce cost of feed, which is what farmers prefer. Along with the scientific works, the author has highlighted that SSM is affordable and can be replaced for GNC.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, but I have mentioned a few corrections in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes, as the manuscript structure will depend on what journal the author wants to publish. But if it is allowed, the author can put images of the subject of the research work (snail).</p> <p>5. Yes, as the author has highlighted the results in tables in a well- defined manner. But the manuscript could use graphs for comparison of the variables in the Results section.</p> <p>6. Add more references. Since it is a scientific article, the author must try to defend what he/she writes on the manuscript.</p> <p>Additional comments: I have highlighted the parts that need to be corrected in the manuscript document. Do go through and try to do the changes, if possible.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Apart from few grammatical errors, the article quality is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Try to add references as many as possible.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anindita Sarma
Department, University & Country	Madras Veterinary College, TANUVAS, India