

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114299
Title of the Manuscript:	The Availability of Soil N, P, K, and Mustard Yield After the Application of Liquid Organic Fertilizer from Household Waste in Ultisols
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It will be useful for agriculture farming</p> <p>Yes have to change like this," Experimental study of the Availability of Soil N, P, K, and Mustard Yield After the Application of Liquid Organic Fertilizer from Household Waste in Ultisols"</p> <p>Yes but only it was mentioned by pH of soil . Actually macro nutrients comparison or details to be discussed it important.</p> <p>Need some revision</p> <p>Some modification required</p> <p>Reference sufficient it was in the year of 2021 but recent years not included.</p> <p>The graphical abstract should be added in order to clear present the purpose of the work and add some more characteristics value for before and after the process.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some of the paragraph minor changes required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.I suggest that the authors explain the problem they want to solve first and then the purpose of their work at the beginning of the abstract.</p> <p>2.Table 1 is out of layout, please revise</p> <p>3.kindly include the initial characteristic values tables with comparison .</p> <p>4.Liquid organic fertilizer was prepared by mixing cattle waste, topsoil, household waste, effective microorganisms, and water, as [17] suggested. Kindly check this paragraph.</p> <p>5. I suggest the author should write conclusion and result and discussion in detail .it was incomplete.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Hemalatha
Department, University & Country	St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, India