

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_114610
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Validation of organic insect pest management module for pests of cabbage and cauliflower in valley and foot hills region, Manipur
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes ; this manuscript focuses on fighting against pests. This is a deep problem that nowadays involves economic, environmental and health issues. In addition, the authors test an environmentally friendly pest management method instead of the chemical. That make the manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>As the results are about means comparisons, It would be better to add some statistical values (such as P value) in the abstract. This will give a quick sight and understanding of the significant differences between the means</p> <p>I think the discussion should be in a part section.</p> <p>Yes; after the authors respond to reviewers comment.</p> <p>More recents references can improve the impact of the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The discussion is limited on comparing the present work with others. The authors can also discuss about: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The economical side of such work. 2. The limit of such work (every work has a limit) • The results: On the bases of the way the data was collected , I suggest to use One way ANOVA to analyse the data. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chakira Hamada
Department, University & Country	University of Comoros, Comoros