

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRNH_113933</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF NORMAL SALINE FLUSH VERSUS HEPARIN FLUSH IN MAINTANING THE PATENCY OF PERIPHERAL INTRAVENOUS CANNULA</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- <b>The article has valuable findings that can be used at the bedside</b></p> <p>2- <b>The title is not comprehensive and complete</b></p> <p>3- <b>The abstract of the article needs to be modified. The different parts of the abstract, including the introduction and method, etc., should be written separately. The abstract is not in the specified format (introduction, method, results, conclusion) The purpose of the study should be mentioned. The method of intervention should be mentioned in the working method. The software used in data analysis. The significance level should be written. The work results should be mentioned in numbers and figures. The discussion should be corrected.</b></p> <p>4-<b>The article should be written in Journal format</b></p> <p>6. <b>The references of the article are very old. Use new references</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In the introduction, a good background of the subject of study is not stated, and the importance and necessity of conducting the study is not stated well, and the appropriate statistics of the occurrence of problems are not written, the hypothesis and the study question are not clear for the reader, and the previous studies are not addressed.</p> <p>Is the time and place of study not mentioned? Sampling method is not mentioned. The mode of intervention is not clearly stated.</p> <p>Is the follow-up time of the study mentioned? Is this time selected according to the purpose of the study? RCT study registration code is not mentioned. The method of assigning people to the study and control groups and the method of randomization or (assignment) are not stated in the analytical studies. The confounding variable and its control solution have not been addressed. Blinding has not been done.</p> <p>The method of analysis and expression of central and dispersion indices such as mean and standard deviation (in Descriptive analysis) and expression of suitable indices in analytical analyzes including significance indices and intensity of relationship (P value or power or correlation coefficient and not mentioned correctly) Is</p> <p>Are the mentioned results in line with the objectives of the study?</p> <p>The generalization of the results of the study to similar groups or other populations has not been done correctly and considering the necessary assumptions. More studies should be used in this section and the results of the current research should be compared with their results and the possible causes of differences or similarities should be written</p> <p>Keywords to be written based on mesh</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Najmeh Ghiami Keshtgar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>