

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_114633
Title of the Manuscript:	Musculoskeletal Pain and Kinematic Factors of Sitting Posture in University Staff
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript addresses an important topic within the field of ergonomics and occupational health, specifically investigating the relationship between musculoskeletal pain and sitting posture among university staff.</p> <p>Can be modified like this "Assessing Kinematic Factors and Musculoskeletal Pain in University Staff: A Study at UNICENTRO" Yes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Need to address all the suggestions provided below</p> <p>No Need to include more recent literatures</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Grammar and Syntax: The article contains some grammatical errors and awkward sentence structures that could be improved for clarity and readability. Proofreading the manuscript thoroughly and revising sentences for better syntax would enhance the overall quality of the writing.</p> <p>Academic Tone: The tone of the article is generally appropriate for scholarly communication, maintaining a professional and formal style. However, there are instances where the language could be further refined to enhance clarity and precision.</p> <p>Technical Terminology: The article includes technical terminology related to ergonomics and occupational health, which is suitable for the target audience of scholars and practitioners in the field. Ensure that all technical terms are accurately used and defined where necessary to facilitate understanding for readers who may be less familiar with the subject matter.</p> <p>Citations and References: Ensure that all citations and references follow the appropriate citation style consistently throughout the manuscript. This includes formatting citations according to the specified style guide and providing complete bibliographic information for each reference.</p> <p>Abbreviations and Acronyms: Define all abbreviations and acronyms upon first use to avoid confusion for readers. Additionally, ensure that abbreviations are used consistently throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Data Presentation: Tables and figures are useful for presenting data in a clear and concise manner. Consider incorporating more visuals to supplement the text and enhance the presentation of results.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion provides a concise summary of the study findings but could be strengthened by reinforcing the main implications of the study and suggesting practical recommendations based on the findings.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Consider adding a brief statement summarizing the key findings of the study. This could provide readers with a quick overview of the results before delving into the details. Specify the standardized questionnaire used to assess the prevalence of pain in the methodology section. Providing more details about the questionnaire would enhance the reproducibility of the study. Clarify the exact definition of "agents" in the study context. It's not entirely clear who the term refers to and how they are related to the university staff.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Introduction: The introduction provides a comprehensive background on the topic of musculoskeletal pain and its relationship with sitting posture. However, it could be beneficial to include a more explicit statement of the research gap or research question addressed by the study. Consider citing more recent studies (post-2005) to ensure that the literature review is up-to-date. The objectives of the study are well-stated, but it may be helpful to explicitly mention the specific kinematic factors of sitting posture that were investigated.</p> <p>Material and Methods: Provide more information about the selection criteria for the sample. How were the 24 university agents selected from the total of 40 employees? Specify the dimensions of the standardized questionnaire used to assess the prevalence of pain. This information would help in understanding the methodology and replicating the study. Clarify the process of anthropometric data collection by recall record. Was this information self-reported by the participants or collected through direct measurements?</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results section provides detailed data analysis, which is commendable. However, consider presenting the results in a more organized manner using tables or figures to improve readability. When discussing the results, provide more interpretation and discussion of the findings in relation to existing literature. How do the findings contribute to our understanding of the relationship between musculoskeletal pain and sitting posture? Consider discussing potential limitations of the study, such as sample size, selection bias, or the use of self-reported data, and their implications for the interpretation of results.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion provides a concise summary of the study findings. Consider reinforcing the main implications of the study and suggesting practical recommendations for addressing the identified issues. Provide suggestions for future research directions based on the findings of the study. What are the key areas that warrant further investigation in this field?</p> <p>General Suggestions: Proofread the entire manuscript for grammatical errors, typos, and consistency in formatting. Ensure that all citations are correctly formatted and listed in the reference section according to the specified style guide. Consider incorporating visuals (e.g., tables, figures) to illustrate key findings and enhance the presentation of results. Provide clear explanations for all abbreviations and acronyms used in the manuscript. Consider consulting a statistician to ensure the accuracy of data analysis and interpretation.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Review Form 1.7

Name:	Kamalakannan. M
Department, University & Country	Saveetha College of Physiotherapy, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, India