

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_114249
Title of the Manuscript:	UNCOVERING THE SYNERGY: KARNATAKA GOVERNMENT'S COLLABORATION WITH THIRD SECTOR ORGANIZATIONS (TSOs) FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT DURING COVID-19
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Detailed Methodological Approach: The methodology section could benefit from a more detailed description of the data collection process. How were the TSOs selected within the districts? Was there a specific criteria used for selection?</p> <p>Lack of Explanation on Descriptive Statistics: The section on descriptive statistics is vague. It mentions "good internal controls or poor reporting systems" without explaining how these were measured or what specific variables were used for analysis.</p> <p>Clarity on Data Presentation: The sentence "The descriptive form would involve the use of this would show the outcome of the data" is unclear and needs revision for better clarity.</p> <p>Missing Information: It would be beneficial to include details on the tools or instruments used for data collection, such as surveys, interviews, or observations. Additionally, information on how missing or incomplete data was handled would add rigor to the methodology.</p> <p>Justification for Specific Objectives: It would strengthen the methodology if there was a brief justification for why descriptive statistics and percentages were chosen to fulfill the specific objectives of the study.</p> <p>Ethical Considerations: Any ethical considerations, such as consent from participants or approval from an ethics board, should be mentioned.</p> <p>Generalization: Since the study was conducted in specific districts, it's important to discuss the potential limitations in generalizing the findings beyond these areas</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rika Melyanti
Department, University & Country	STMIK Hangtuah Pekanbaru, Indonesia