

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_114428</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysing the Digital Divide Factors: Evidence of a Rural-Urban Comparison from an Indian district</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Manuscript</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Abstract: Need Revision</p> <p>Keywords: Good</p> <p>Introduction: Average/Revised Please</p> <p>Literature Review: Average</p> <p>Data Set: Average</p> <p>Methodology: Weak</p> <p>Caption, Citations &amp; Footnotes: Good</p> <p>Pictures, graphs &amp; Flowcharts: Average</p> <p>Results: Weak</p> <p>Conclusion: Average</p> <p>Future Work: Poor</p> <p>References: Average</p> <p>----- Overall evaluation -----</p> <p>Suggestion and Recommendation:</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>The authors present a technical research paper with relevant topic, proper research methodology and potentially good contribution to the field of studies.</p> <p>The authors are encouraged to resubmit the paper with more clarity on presented performance assessment metrics with the selected relevant Case studies and possible application scenario with assessment metrics. The paper should be written in proper format, figures should fit within the text, use of font should be uniform in all paper, as well as references should be updated with most recent results.</p> <p>Suggestion and Recommendation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors may elaborate more on the novelty/contribution of their work and how it</li> <li>2. Authors need to be specific about their problem statement and the scope of their research.</li> <li>3. Abstract: elaborate more on the problem statement, findings, and contributions.</li> <li>4. Introduction is not clear. Authors may contribute more towards this.</li> </ol> <p>Contributes to the literature in the second last paragraph of the introduction clearly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Thorough proofreading is recommended.</li> <li>6. A few of the figures are taken from the sources and are not cited properly, either they may be cited properly with permissions or may be removed/ redrawn.</li> <li>7. The conclusion is not clear and needs revision and clarity and alignment with the abstract and title.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>N/A</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Abdullah Ayub Khan</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Benazir Bhutto Shaheed University, Pakistan</b></p>