

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_113511
Title of the Manuscript:	Extracts of Trichilia heudelotii (Meliaceae) Planch, a Nigerian medicinal plant have antibacterial and antifungal activity.
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is well written</p> <p>2. Yes it is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes except some editorial as commented in the text</p> <p>4. Yes, can be improved by adding numbers from beginning to end of the subsections throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes, must be improved by adding description of the study area, where the lab was conducted and if possible including the authors' own pictures of the plant and some other photos during the process in the laboratory to be supportive data as supplementary</p> <p>6. Yes except some like 1961 is not recent</p> <p>The article is well written considering possible supplementary materials like mentioned in point 5 above.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, may some grammar checker could be applied</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is interesting work, traditionally used medicinal plants should be supported scientifically at least to determine the dose by conducting such researches. This article is interesting having in mind that it is free from plagiarism, the title is the same with that of the abstract displayed in the link in part 2 of this format. Only the abstract was displayed, when the link tried to continue to the next it could not continue. But the current manuscript's title was copied and pasted on Google and only an abstract of the source was demonstrated on the page of the link which indicated in part 2 below. Therefore it would be if technical expertise applied on checking its plagiarism and proceed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gebru Equar Gebremichael
Department, University & Country	Mekelle University, Ethiopia