

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_113824
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF 2022 FLOODING ON BUSINESS ACTIVITIES IN LOKOJA METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes the title of the article suitable</p> <p>No the abstract is NOT comprehensive at all. In fact it does not bring out the major components of an abstract.</p> <p>The author needs to follow the conventional scientific writing.</p> <p>The author needs to re-write following conventional scientific writing structure for one to be able to draw such conclusion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>See below at general comments.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The author needs to re-write his/he manuscript following the conventional scientific writing structure;</p> <p>Introduction Methods, Results Discussion and Conclusion</p> <p>The abstract too needs to be re-written following the conventional scientific writing, to capture in a snap shot, the introduction, objectives of the study, methods used, sample size and selection criteria, results, conclusion and recommendations of the study in between 300-500 words.</p> <p>The introduction is presented more like a newspaper article than journal research manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Kameri Ochoko
Department, University & Country	Kampala International University, Uganda