

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114542
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF AZOXYSTROBIN 7.5% + PROPICONAZOLE 12.5% SE AGAINST SHEATH BLIGHT IN RICE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The aim of the study represent interest not only for scientific teams but for the food industry. I recommend to the author(s) to continue with their work and to provide results from studies conducted in the last few years.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The manuscript is well structured according to the aim of the study. However, I suggest to the author(s) to ad as a subtitle "sheath blight infection" immediately after "Results and discussion" as it is done on page 5 ("Yield" section).</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The cited references are well chosen according to the aim and time of the study (the results are obtained 2011 and 2012).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It would be highly appreciated if the authors use not only percentage presentation of the results but compare them with statistical software and present such kind of data. Also in tables the values with statistical significance could be marked.</p> <p>I recommend to the author(s) to ad DOI numbers of cited references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natalia Borisova Vilmosh
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria