

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_114122</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance of different varieties of French Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Important</b> The manuscript is to carry important qualifications for scientific community.</p> <p>2. <b>The article title is suitable for a research paper.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The paper's summary lacks detail, particularly in regards to the size of the difference or ratio between the measurements. It would be beneficial to highlight these numerical values.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The article's structure requires further development.</b></p> <p>5. <b>The article does not adhere to scientific writing standards. I have marked the necessary corrections on the article.</b></p> <p>6. <b>The article's conclusion and discussion section lacks references. Additionally, the reference citations require revision.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The grammar of the article is appropriate.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	No	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Hatice Çobanoğlu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Düzce University, Türkiye</b>