

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_112826
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of NPK fertilizer and wood-ash on flowering, cherelles performance and pod yield of cacao (Theobroma cacao L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes the content of the MS is important for the scientific community particularly to improve the cocoa production.</b></p> <p><b>Title is ok</b></p> <p>Abstract is good and comprehensive. However, few suggestions has been indicated in the attached file which need serious attention.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the MS is scientifically correct but need some corrections/modifications</p> <p>References are not sufficient. Some references have been added in the attached file which can be added in the revised MS to improve the quality of the MS</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion section is very weak which need serious attention to improve as per the suggestions indicated in the attached file.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Quality of English is ok. However, some sentences need structural/grammatical correction which has been indicated in the attached file</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The MS can be accepted after suggested corrections.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manoj Kundu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bihar Agricultural University, India</b>