

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_112826
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of NPK fertilizer and wood-ash on flowering, cherelles performance and pod yield of cacao (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes , manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>Not suitable , but it is god it modified as <u>Performance of cacao (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.) varieties to wood ash and NPK fertilizer on flowering, cherelle wilt and pod yield</u></p> <p>abstract of the article Not comprehensive subsections and structure of the manuscript Not appropriate</p> <p>the references Not sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>language/English quality of the article Not suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Before to come up writing the manuscripts the author should have see about how manuscript could be written, and what are factors and levels of factors and also how treatments could be constructed. To cop-up with this situation the researcher should have communicate the experienced stuff members.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hailu Hameso
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia