

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114161
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Success of Agri-Incubatees of ANGRAU Agribusiness Incubator in Tirupati
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is a good manuscript as the study want to find out about the successful factors of the agribusiness incubator program.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There are some missing the key point in the abstract. The introduction and problems statement do not state as well as the objectives of the study are missing. The variables used, the methodology especially the data collection and data analysis should be highlighted. Recommendation for this study do not mention in the abstract of study.</p> <p>It is suggesting to the author to add a literature review section in the manuscript, so that it would help reader to better understand the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>This is a good manuscript, good research to carried out but there are room for improvement maybe in the future study. The analysis found to be too simple and just used a descriptive analysis only. It is better if the author can employ some statistically analysis to enhance the findings and relate it with the previous studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes but it is suggest for the author to submit for proofreading to improve the English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marlia Musa
Department, University & Country	Mara University of Technology, Malaysia