

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_114609
Title of the Manuscript:	Locus of control Internal vs External: The role in the relationship between work-family conflict and job stress
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Indeed, the manuscript has great significance for the scientific community.</li> <li>Yes, it is suitable for the journal, but it needs a little bit of modification.</li> <li>The abstract is not comprehensive because it lacks the method design, the sampling procedures, the data validation, the analysis techniques, major findings, and suggested ideas in line with the findings.</li> <li>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</li> <li>The manuscript is scientific and does not include all the procedures, e.g. The methods and results section</li> <li>The references is not sufficient and recent, most references are too old e.g. 1982, 1988, 1986, 1996</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language quality of the article is good, but the results and discussion section need a little bit of editing.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since the work as a whole is significant to society and adheres to a scientific research methodology, I believe the paper is good if the feedback is taken into consideration.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yordanos Yibeltal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahair Dar University, Ethiopia</b>