

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_112499
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING NUTRITIONAL COMPSITION OF FERMENTED <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> SEEDS WITH SPICES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. There is increasing demand for the fermented <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> seeds as they act as a good source of calcium, lipids, proteins, and vitamins. The present study has revealed that alligator pepper, skinplum and nutmeg may be used for the fortification of fermented <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> seeds to enhance its nutritional composition. Since, it is proved that the said spices have great potential to enhance the nutraceutical value of the fermented <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> seeds further, it becomes noteworthy contribution not only to the scientific community but also to the health and nutraceutical industry for its further commercialization to fulfil the increasing need of nutraceuticals.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title of the article is apt to the topic under study.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive and has covered all the required aspects to give the zest of the research work carried out.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is framed appropriately with the perfect additions of the necessary subsections wherever possible.</p> <p>5. The manuscript has been written correctly and scientifically with the appropriate beginning (Introduction), middle body (materials and methods) and end (Result and Conclusion). The result analysis and discussion have been done critically.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The paper gives a good amount of statistical data which can be represented graphically to give more clarity and throw more light on the results obtained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The research article is worded properly in lucid language (English) and is presented to be a scholarly communication bearing good scientific temper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a well-written research article that describes, discusses, and summarizes the key research findings appropriately in clear lucid scientific language</p> <p>There are two lines in the research article that seem incomplete and need to be corrected. Besides, the paper gives a good amount of statistical data which can be represented graphically to give more clarity and to throw more light on the results obtained. The research work done is noteworthy and the paper can be accepted with the suggested minor corrections.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neeraja S. Tutakne
Department, University & Country	SIES College of Arts, Science and Commerce, University of Mumbai, India