

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_113886
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF ANAPLASMA SPP ISOLATED FROM CATTLE SLAUGHTERED AT CENTRAL ABATTOIR BAUCHI, BAUCHI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, it is important for the scientific community, for molecular characterization of Anaplasma species. Because it is an economically important disease of cattle which lowers production and health of the animal. Hence for effective treatment species specific diagnosis is important.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes, title is suitable for this article.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</b></li> <li>4. <b>Yes, it is appropriate.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Yes, the references are sufficient and some more recent articles can be referred and added.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Additional suggestions</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) <b>In the page number 6 para 3.3 line 5 write T 9 and T 9A instead of T 11 instead of T 10.</b></li> <li>ii) <b>Write RESULTS serial number as 3 instead of 2.</b></li> <li>iii) <b>Write DISCUSSION serial number as 4 instead of 3.</b></li> <li>iv)</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>4 <b>Change the font shape uniformly, because in words are in different font shape.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P. Jayaganthan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India</b>