

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114828
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the safety of horticultural mineral oil to the predatory mite, Neoseiulus longispinosus and acaropathogen, Acremonium zeilanicum
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO The abstract should Provide a good overview of the research with its all results and conclusion, which could be improved. Consider reorganizing. Add related keywords.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It needs grammar and spelling corrections the author can use software like GRAMERLY</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Introduction: Needs rearrangement</p> <p>2. Material Methods Section: The methods section should provide a clear and detailed description of sample collection procedures, bioassay, etc</p> <p>3. Results and Discussion: The findings should be discussed in more detail using statistical tools. remove repeating words</p> <p>4. Conclusion: It should be explanatory and conclusive if possible future recommendations</p> <p>5. Citations and References: should follow either Harvard style or Vancouver not mixed. If possible recent include recent work</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tibebu Mamuye
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Institute, Ethiopia