

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114254
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Variability for Herbage Yield and Its Component Traits in Genotypes of Kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, its an herbal plant has to be studied more on for producing more.</p> <p>Yes its suitable</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes all of them are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, sure</p> <p>References not enough just 5. He/she has to add more references. And also has to be add recent ones if possible</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>References should be added; the number is very small and if there are recent ones, they should be found. There were some word errors in the text. In general, it is a well-studied topic. However, the number of sources is insufficient. A publication with a little more bibliography would be good for conveying other studies.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emel Ozer
Department, University & Country	Konya Bahri Dagdas International Agricultural Research Institute, Turkiye