

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114254
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Variability for Herbage Yield and Its Component Traits in Genotypes of Kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes. This article is great. Considering that this species is under threat and extinction, it is important to study it.</p> <p>2- Yes. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3- The characteristics of the experimental farm should be written in the full abstract.</p> <p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- References are very limited. Many parts of the text are without references. References before 2015 should not be used.</p> <p>In materials and methods: A- Details of the experimental farm should be written completely. B- The dimensions of the test plots and planting intervals should be written. C- Field soil testing is required. D- Botanical characteristics should be written more and more completely. E- Has feeding been done with chemical fertilizers? F- If it was done, how was it done?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Askar Ghanbari Odivi
Department, University & Country	Sharekord University, Iran