

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_114006
Title of the Manuscript:	High Levels of EFNA2 in Lung Adenocarcinoma Predicts Poor Prognosis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript holds significant importance for the community due to the rising incidence of Adenocarcinoma cases. However, it requires additional clarification in the title to enhance its comprehensibility</p> <p>2. The title aligns with the study's planned conditions, and it is advisable for the author to clarify the study's subject. This clarification would be beneficial for intervention and accurate disease diagnosis</p> <p>3. The abstract should provide a concise overview of the study's objectives and purpose.</p> <p>4. Overall, I observe that the manuscript structure adheres to the template provided by the journal</p> <p>5. The background section should include the novelty of this paper to enhance its value and uniqueness</p> <p>6. We recommend incorporating references from 2020 to 2023 in the discussion section of this article to ensure it is informed by the latest research findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. While the language structure is commendable, it is essential to ensure the use of terms that align specifically with the author's scientific field</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neila Sulung
Department, University & Country	University of Fort De Kock Bukittinggi, Indonesia