

**ReviewForm1.7**

JournalName:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research andReports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_114457</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PCCP mortar protectionlayer researchprogress</b>
Type ofthe Article	

**PART1: ReviewComments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Isthemanuscriptimportantforscientificcommunity?</b> (Pleasewritefewsentencesonthismanuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable?</b> (If notpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p> <p>3. <b>Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscriptappropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do youthinkthemanuscriptisscientificallycorrect?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestionofadditionalreferences,pleasementioninthe reviewform.</b></p> <p><b>(Apartfromabovementioned6points,reviewersarefreetoprovideadditional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>First: The abstractofthis manuscript,as it stands, is poor andlacks principles for writing abstractof manuscripts. The summaryshould be rewritten according to the following points:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) <b>Research background (researchproblem, justifications and importance)</b></li> <li>2) <b>Research objectives (the main objectiveof the research)</b></li> <li>3) <b>Research methodology(means,techniques, andmethods)</b></li> <li>4) <b>Research results (reviewingthe newresults reached bythe researcher and the language of numbers)</b></li> <li>5) <b>The most important conclusions</b></li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Second: Results:The results are not clear,the researchers did not succeed in discussingthe figures and table, The author did not use scientific methods to discusstheresults compared to previousstudies.</b></li> <li>• <b>Third: references: Some references areveryold,and this contradictssolid scientific research, must be removeallreferences beforeyear 2013. AND,Must beadd these Following references:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Risan, H. K., Serhan, F.M., &amp; Al-Azzawi,A. A.(2024,January). Management of a typical experiment inengineering and science. In <i>AIPConference Proceedings</i> (Vol.2864, No.1). AIPPublishing</li> <li>b) Sarhan, M. M.,&amp; Al-Zwainy,F. M.(2022, October).Analytical investigationsofconcrete beams reinforcedwith FRPbarsunder static loads. In<i>Structures</i> (Vol.44, pp. 152-158). Elsevier.</li> <li>c) Al-Nasar, M.K.R.,&amp; Al-Zwainy,F. M. S. Load Testing forI-Girder type Bridgeto Identify Serviceability,Load-carrying Capacityand Dynamic.International Journal ofMechanical Engineering7 (1),833-854</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		

**ReviewForm1.7**

1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Faiq M. S. Al-Zwainy
Department, University & Country	Al-Nahrain University, Iraq