

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114400
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Research on Recycled Aggregate Concrete Filled Steel Tube
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>OK. Recycled aggregate concrete is very important for civil engineering.</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>The manuscript contains some errors that can be corrected.</p> <p>Many of the references are old.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The manuscript has spelling and stylistic errors. uses British and American English terms equally, e.g. Behaviour and Behavior</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The issues related to recycled aggregate concrete are interesting and environmentally relevant. However, we observe in this review that the references are mostly very old. It seems that the work began to be written several years ago. In this regard, it is suggested that the author update the information in the last ten years.</p> <p>Regarding the form, we found numerous spelling errors in all sections, for example, the use of s for z is confused in many terms. The manuscript deserves a thorough review in this regard.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Felipe Jiménez Torrez
Department, University & Country	Chetumal Institute of Technology, Mexico