

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114659
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation Of the Pattern and Production of Groundnut in Vijayapura District of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, the study carried out addresses a large-scale problem for farmers to understand production patterns and predict future yields is crucial for agricultural planning and the particular economic sustainability of groundnut.</p> <p>2. This title is suggested: <b>Modelling and optimization of peanut production of Groundnut in Vijayapura District of Karnataka.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate with major changes:</b> The author(s) should use figures without as much collar, and the explanation of the figures or tables should be as you insert them in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct with little changes:</b> the author(s) must add in the introduction a small paragraph giving a scientific aspect on the major benefits of Groundnut.</p> <p>6. <b>The references aren't sufficient:</b> the author(s) must add the required references at the end of each paragraph except those concerning the results found or demonstrated by the authors.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications with a little change:</b> The problem must be very clear, using scientific references relating to other methods and comparing them with your studies in terms of price and simplicity. The author(s) must to convert the results into graphs and professional evolution profiles to easily communicate the comments, and the critical analysis of the important results of the study</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ouissal Drissi El Bouzaidi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco</b>