

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114783
Title of the Manuscript:	POPULATION DYNAMICS OF FALL ARMYWORM, SPODOPTERA FRUGIPERDA IN MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript "Population dynamics of Fall Armyworm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> in maize" is relevant for researchers and the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes the title of the manuscript is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Very appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is very relevant with current research and it is scientifically correct</p> <p>All the references cited in the body of this paper appear in the reference list</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is above average and suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is acceptable and suitable for publication. I suggest the author should review the title of the manuscript. This current title only focused on the population dynamics of <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> whereas the study encompasses the population of FAW and selected parasitoids.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound however I suggest the author should look at the title again.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Ekenwosu
Department, University & Country	Imo State University, Nigeria