

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_113437
Title of the Manuscript:	Sarcasm Detection in Pidgin Tweets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting edge methodology. The paper is well written and structured.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the article abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Some more recent references can be added.</p> <p>Yue, T., Mao, R., Wang, H., Hu, Z. and Cambria, E., 2023. KnowleNet: Knowledge fusion network for multimodal sarcasm detection. <i>Information Fusion</i>, 100, p.101921.</p> <p>Kumar, A. and Garg, G., 2023. Empirical study of shallow and deep learning models for sarcasm detection using context in benchmark datasets. <i>Journal of ambient intelligence and humanized computing</i>, 14(5), pp.5327-5342.</p> <p>Pandey, R. and Singh, J.P., 2023. BERT-LSTM model for sarcasm detection in code-mixed social media post. <i>Journal of Intelligent Information Systems</i>, 60(1), pp.235-254.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, Language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. In Fig 1. System framework can be rewritten as proposed framework.</p> <p>2. Authors are advised to give more explanation about the framework and its each phase.</p> <p>3. Authors can add mathematical modelling.</p> <p>4. It is suggested to narrate the dataset characteristics.</p> <p>5. It is recommended to compare the result with latest paper. This will elaborate the novelty of the research.</p> <p>6. Conclusion can be changed as 'Conclusion and Future work'. Some more future work can be included for further enhancements.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhura Prabha R
Department, University & Country	Stella Maris College, India