

Review Form 1.7

I

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_108857
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GERMINATION ON THE PROXIMATE COMPOSITION, FUNCTIONAL AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF FLOURS FROM RED AND BROWN VARIETIES OF PIGEON PEA (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>) SEEDS.
Type of the Article	Peer Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
•		

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is important to the scientific community but lacks flow of information (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable?NO it needs some amendments to suit the study. EFFECT OF GERMINATION ON THE PROXIMATE COMPOSITION, FUNCTIONAL AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF FLOURS FROM RED AND BROWN VARIETIES OF PIGEON PEA (Cajanus cajan) SEEDS Towards Nutritional Security in Nigeria. (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No, it needs to give full summary of the study by answering what the study is about , why is being conducted How it was done and then the results achieved. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Not really, they needs some numbering eg 1.0 Then its subsection 1.1 or 1.2 Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes Are the references sufficient and recent? No If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Title is not well written, it should include why and where the study done. The abstract should give the summary of the entire paper. The author cannot start the abstract with methodology. The author needs to give a brief introduction of the work and the problem or the gap being filled by the current study. There after how the work was done can follow. The gap being filled by the study is not communicated well. On materials and methods section about Preparation of Malted Pigeon Pea Flour Samples the second sentence "The malted pigeon pea flour was prepared according to the method described by the method of" it is not communicating well needs clarification. There is a missing link between title of the study and conclusion. The study is lacking flow from introduction to objective to results and then conclusion as well as recommendation. The references are not sufficient the author need to read more information on what has been done and the theoretical framework of the study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes it is suitable. 		
<p>Optional/Generalcomments The study is adequate in form but needs revision to bring out the gap and flow of information within the study.</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Catherine Mueni Peter
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya