

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110473
Title of the Manuscript:	PATTERNS OF CUSTOMARY LAND TENURE AND UTILIZATION IN THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES OF BALI AND ACEH: A COMPARISON OF CUSTOMARY LAW IN BALINESE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND ACEHNESE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

## Review Form 1.7

<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No, it's grammatically complex and long. I suggest : <b>Patterns of indigenous land tenure and utilization: comparison of customary law in Balinese and Acehnese people.</b></p> <p>No. The results section don't show clearly the results regarding laws related with land tenure and utilization between the study subjects.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The format is not homogeneous and some references lack the year of publication. Review again the manuscript and its grammatical quality.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The first paragraphs from the results and discussion (pp 59-60) correspond to the discussion section, they don't reflect anything regarding results findings according to the research methods. In the next section I suggest a comparative table for better reading and analysis of the results, these must include de legal and customary aspect of land use. The public policy it's not clear, is it going to be implemented in legal terms of customary? Also, the research question and main objective What is the policy model in anticipating changes in the pattern of tenure and utilization of customary land in the indigenous peoples of Bali and Aceh that is equitable and based on local wisdom? Is not clearly answered and is not reflected in the public policy proposal.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Orlando Reynoso Orozco</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico</b></p>